Pupil premium strategy statement 2022-23

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2021 to 2022 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils. It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

| Detail | Data | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| School name | Layston C of E First | | |
| Number of pupils in school | 145 (150 PAN) | | |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 17 % (25 pupils) | | |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended) | 2021-24 | | |
| Date this statement was published | September 2022 | | |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | Updated and reviewed Dec22 Next review July 2023 | | |
| Statement authorised by | Adele McMurrough | | |
| Pupil Premium lead | Susie Betley | | |
| Governor / Trustee lead | Colin Wilson and Cat Morris | | |

Funding overview

| Detail | Amount |
|--|---------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | £33 545 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | £ |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £0 |
| Total budget for this academic year | £33 545 |

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intent is to give all pupils a chance to shine in line with our school vision ('Loving to learn, learning to love, for every child a chance to shine'). We intend to improve the following areas for pupils eligible for Pupil Premium Grant:

- ✓ Language: Development of oral language skills and confidence expressing themselves
- Learning: Retention of knowledge, vocabulary and key skills, parental engagement, opportunities to work at Greater Depth in line with other pupils working at this level, tailored support for pupils with SEND that meet their needs and enable them to access the curriculum
- Emotional and social: Behaviour support to enable self-regulation, positive mental health and well-being
- ✓ Aspiration: Increase independence and self-confidence and expectations
- ✓ Life experience: Provide enrichment of experiences
- ✓ Material: Access to technology & healthy school meals

Implementation & expenditure for these pupils has included amounts allocated towards:

- ✓ Early intervention for targeted pupils (NELI, delivery of SALT)
- ✓ Increasing Teaching Assistant (TA) support for targeted pupils/groups
- ✓ Support materials for the above
- ✓ Nurture provision Drawing & Talking sand play
- Subsidies for residential and educational visits
- ✓ Assisted places at holiday sports academy & school clubs (multi-skills & football run by RTFC)
- ✓ Assisted places at enrichment activities e.g. dance lessons, music lessons, horse riding.
- √ Family support for attendance
- ✓ School-led tutoring post Covid-19 lock down

The impact of strategically targeting the pupil premium budget on the specific needs of the PP group is:

- ✓ The majority of PPG pupils have made at least good progress across all year against pre-arranged targets.
- ✓ PPG pupils are aspirational and motivated to complete their learning tasks.
- ✓ There is a diminishing difference between PPG pupils and non-PPG pupils in the majority of cases.
- ✓ The large majority of PPG pupils read at home regularly and feel supported at home with their school learning.
- ✓ The vast majority of parents of PPG pupils engage with school regarding their child's learning at least each half term.
- ✓ All PPG pupils attend a school club and experience success, engage positively with peers and behave pro-socially.
- ✓ The attendance of the vast majority of PPG pupils is at least average, if not above.
- ✓ All PPG pupils have responsibilities around school to develop the skills of reliability, responsibility and managing routines.
- ✓ All PPG pupils embrace new opportunities.

Pupil eligibility:

- ✓ Pupils eligible for free school meals (FSM)
- ✓ Pupils eligible for free school meals in past 6 years (Ever 6)
- Pupils looked after by local authority (CLA)
- ✓ Pupils previously look after by local authority (post-CLA)

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
|------------------|---|
| 1 | Delayed language development |
| 2 | Covid lockdowns and subsequent illness - impacting attendance, progress & achievement Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are as low as 79.9% (222/09/22)) and (below the target for all children of 96%). This reduces their school hours and causes them to fall behind on average. Some pupils who were working at greater depth (pre lock down), who are eligible for PP, are making less progress than other high achieving pupils. Success criteria – to enhance the cultural capital of identified pupils to ensure that greater proportions are working at greater depth. |
| 3 | SEND – retention of knowledge, vocabulary and key skills |
| 4 | Behaviour/SEMH – ASC/anxiety/EBSA Anxiety from personal and/or family circumstances and impact of Covid lockdowns |
| 5 | Parental engagement & parental confidence Supporting pupils with their learning at home and helping them raise their aspirations |
| 6 | Life experiences Lack of access to culturally enriching activities and opportunities |
| 7 | Access to healthy food and technology for remote learning Food banks used and food parcels delivered, access to Wi-Fi and IT equipment |

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for by the end of our current strategy plan, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome | Success criteria | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Improved oral language skills for pupils eligible for PP funding. | Pupils eligible for PP funding make good progress by the end of the year from their starting points. A higher proportion are working at ARE. Pupil assessment shows improving trends. Planned interventions are regularly monitored for impact. | | | | |
| Improved the retention of learning for a small number of PP funded children. | Pupils eligible for PP funding make good progress by the end of the year from their starting points. A higher proportion are working at ARE. QFT and AfL are priorities. Pupil assessment shows improving trends. QFT & planned interventions are regularly monitored for impact. | | | | |
| Pupils are able to self- regulate and have strategies in place that support their behaviour needs and well-being and enables them to learn alongside their peers. | Fewer anti-social behaviour incidents recorded for these pupils on the school system (without changing recording practices or standards). Children feel safe (pupil voice). Incidents of behaviour are regularly monitored. Those with anxiety about returning to school post lock down are monitored by MH Lead, have regular contact with parent/s & information is made available for parents on website. | | | | |
| PPG children with complex needs including SEN have specific tailored daily activities that meet their needs and learning styles and enable them to access the curriculum. | Pupils eligible for PP funding with complex additional needs make good progress by the end of the year from their starting points. QFT and AfL are priorities. QFT, learning plans, targets and interventions are regularly monitored for impact. | | | | |

| Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP. | Reduce the number of persistent absentees among pupils eligible for PP to 10% or below. Overall PP attendance improves from 79.9% to 96% in line with 'other' pupils. |
|--|--|
| | Attendance is monitored regularly and school works in partnership with parents – termly letters/phone calls from HT. |
| Increased progress rate of PP children working at greater depth across the school. | PP identified as working at greater depth make as much progress as 'other' pupils identified at greater depth, across the school in maths, reading and writing. QFT and AfL are priorities. Measured by teacher and national assessments. Catch up interventions are in place. |
| Increased number of PPG pupils engaged in after school clubs and school trips | Priority places for pupils with PPG and school trips subsidised |

Activity in this academic year 2022-23

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention) Budgeted cost: £ 20,000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----|--|--|
| QFT - CPD | EEF Guide to PP "Good teaching is the most important lever schools have to improve outcomes for disadvantaged pupils." p5 | All | | |
| QFT – TA Deployment | | All | | |
| QFT – Coaching and mentoring | | All | | |

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions) Budgeted cost: £ 15,000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| School-led tutoring Y2 and 3 | See Government/EEF guidance Reading, Writing and Maths | 2 |
| NELI (Nuffield Early Language Intervention) | Language acquisition recommended by government for EY 4 hours TA | 5 |
| | training (LR x2 hrs + AP x2 hrs) | PPG pupils - £50 |
| BSquared assessment framework | Recommended by Amwell View Outreach Service | All SEND - £380 |
| Targeted support for vulnerable children - HLTA | | |

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing) Budgeted cost: £ 2205.00

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Behaviour intervention | Rivers ESC support on emotional regulation – DSPL3 | 2 | | |
| | Psychoeducation Toolkit – Beacon House Recommended by DSPL3 | | | |
| Drawing & Talking | | | | |
| Enrichment activities | Structured playtimes support pro-social behaviours, SEL & Buddy system, School trips, in house opportunities. | All | | |
| Therapeutic Hooves | Therapeutic sensory learning to support emotional regulation | 1 - £700 | | |
| Uniform & book bags | Helping family join school | 2 - £72.42 | | |

Total budgeted cost: £ 3 602.42

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

See table below – review of expenditure 2020-21

Externally provided programmes

| Programme | Provider | | | |
|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| | n/a | | | |

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

| Measure | Details |
|--|---------|
| How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year? | n/a |
| What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils? | n/a |

| Review of expenditure 202 | 1-22 | | |
|---|---|--|---------|
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Impact & lessons learned | Cost |
| HIGH QUALITY TEACHING | | | |
| To improve the teaching and learning in school, to enable children to make good progress across the curriculum. | QFT — Teacher / TA Continuing Professional Development 1. Staff workshop programme 2. HFL training 3. Curriculum development 4. Sharing good practice and lessons learned amongst team 5. Senior Leadership time | Impact: Teachers knowledge of children's start and end points has improved - allowing more targeted teaching across all subjects. Staff meetings have enabled teachers to share good practice and discuss learning across the school. Staff meetings have allowed teachers to focus on how they adapt their subject to meet the needs of children with SEND and/or PPG. Knowing your Subject training improved teachers confidence to adapt curriculums to meet the needs of the children at Layston and the importance of language development. Revised curriculum maps include vocabulary progression. Teachers are more targeted in their teaching of subject vocabulary and their expectations of vocab which should already be known. LOs and PV evidence children beginning to use subject specific vocabulary. Next steps: Ensure teaching is focussed on ensuring PPG children retain the knowledge of vocabulary and prompt to use their wider language across the curriculum through starting each lesson with recap on what did we learn last lesson/week/month/year. | £3,000 |
| | Mentoring and coaching 1. HT targeted supported in Spring term 2. DHT middle leader support in Spr/Summer term for subject leadership. 3. Additional SENCo release time | Impact: Middle leaders are more confident in ensuring their subject curriculum meets the needs of all of the children at Layston, including PPG. Teachers are more confident in how to adapt their curriculum for disadvantaged children. | £1,500 |
| | Deployment of staff. - Full time TA support within classes - Additional MSA hours to support at lunchtimes - Additional office hours to support during Covid and to ensure safeguarding procedures were followed and vulnerable children were supported. | Children received Quality First Teaching and make good progress. Pastoral support is embedded within school. Children have a recognised trusted adult within their class. Younger children gain emotional security from a consistent face within the classroom, following a long period of instability during Covid. This had a particularly positive impact in the Year 1 classroom and Reception class (Maternity leave). Pupil voice evidences that children with PPG feel safe and secure in school, know what to do if they need support and are happy in their learning. Deployment to allow for full time TA support for most of the week, has allowed the following targeted interventions to be provided, as directed by the class teacher: Daily reading for vulnerable children Phonics interventions Focussed in class support Write words Daily sentence writing Sensory breaks Social stories | £15.425 |

| | | - Pre-teaching These interventions have been reviewed as part of the pupil progress meetings and the key focus on children entitled to PPG (See attainment and progress grids below). In academic year 21/22 - 85.7% of all children with PPG made good or an above level of progress in reading; 76.2% in writing and 81% in maths. Where children have not made the expected progress, children are identified as having SEND and/or significant factors affecting progress (e.g. attendance). These children are closely monitored through pupil progress meetings. | | | | | 3 in 55, | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|----------------|---------------|
| TARGETED ACADEMIC SUP- PORT | | | | | | | | | |
| To provide targeted teach- | School Led Tutoring | Impact: | | | | | | | £1500 (21/22) |
| ing to enable children to make good or accelerated | Qualified teacher recruited to provide targeted support in small groups, one- | Summer 22 | Targeted (| Group acros subjects | s all three | Targeted | Group acro subject | ss targeted | |
| progress. | to-one and within the wider class | YEAR 2 | Reading | Writing | Maths | Reading | Writing | Maths | |
| | (alongside class teacher). Senior Leadership Time. | Targeted Children | 15 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 9 | |
| | | Acceler- ated | 40% | 13.3% | 40% | 50% | 0% | 33.3% | |
| | | Good | 46.6% | 73.3% | 26.6% | 25% | 85.7% | 22.2% | |
| | | Less No Prior | 6.7% 6.7% | 6.7% 6.7% | 26.6% 6.7% | 12.5% 12.5% | 12.5% 0% | 33.3% 11.1% | |
| | | learning thr Teacher fee Data shows or accelerat In maths, ac | ough Covid. edback evider very good acted. Good proceelerated or Smaller ste | The aim was nees an incre celerated progress has be good progress of progress froup acros | to bring as ma ease in childrer rogress in read een made in wi ess has been m ess to be asses | ny children to A n's learning beha ing at 50% for t riting and data s nade by 55.5% of ssed for maths in | RE as possil viours and p argeted chi hows this at children. ntervention | | good |
| | | YEAR 3 | Reading | subjects Writing | Maths | Reading | subject Writing | Maths | |
| | | Targeted Children | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | |
| | | Acceler- ated | 0% | 12.5% | 0% | 0% | 25% | 0% | |
| | | Good | 87.5% 0% | 62.5% 12.5% | 87.5% 0% | 87.5% 0% | 25% 25% | 75% 0% | |
| | | Less | | | | | | | |

| | | In school small group interventions resulted in good progress. The after school 1:1 sessions | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | 1:1 for children who are EHCP and PPG (x4) allowing class TA to focus on QFT for children with PPG and wider class. | Impact: Your dren were ab to achieve th gress in term Part time tim Children have | £2,000 £3,700 (afternoon TA) | | | | | | | | |
| | NELI (Nuffield Early Language Intervention) Intervention delivered by EYFS HLTA | Initial Assess End of Year | Recommended by Government for Early Years. Initial Assessments Nov 21 End of Year Assessments July 22 3 PPG pupils who took part all made good progress | | | | | | | | |
| | | YR Pupil | | nguage screen total andard score Nov21 | | | Percentile rank Nov21 | | Percentile rank Jul22 | | |
| | | A | 93 | | 102 | SCOIE GUILL | 33 | į | 56 | | |
| | | В | 94 | 1 | 103 | 35 | | 60 | | | |
| | | С | | 09 | 116 | | 73 | | 87 | | |
| | Teaching assistant deployment – Year | | | | | | | | | | |
| | R, 1 and 3 (class with high need of | | | | Attainment EXS | | or GDS Atte | | dance | | |
| | PPG) | End of Summer | No of PPG | % | R | W | М | PPG | Non PPG | | |
| | Increased staffing in class | YR | 110 | | | | | 110 | 110 | | |
| | 2. Intervention programme | Y1 | 5 | 18.5% | 80.0% | 60.0% | 80.0% | 86.9% | 93.3% | | |
| | CPD for Teaching methods | Y2 | 2 | 6.67% | 50.0% | 0.0% | 100% | 95.1% | 95.2% | | |
| | | Y3 | 11 | 36.6% | 36.4% | 18.2% | 45.5% | 90.1% | 93.2% | | |
| | | Y4 | 5 | 16.67% | 40.0% | 40.0% ess LG and | 60.0% | 88.5% | 95.8% | | |
| | | YR | | | Flogi | ess LG and | Above | | | | |
| | | Y1 | | | 100% | 80.0% | 100% | | | | |
| | | Y2 | | | 50.0% | 50.0% | 50.0% | | | | |
| | | Y3 | | | 81.8% | 72.7% | 72.7% | | | | |
| | | Y4 | | <u> </u> | 60.0% | 60.0% | 60.6% | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | geted suppor The extra su The majority Where this h | t with socion pport has of of childrent as not bee | al skills, nurtur Illowed the clas n have achieved | ing, anxiety is TA to de id good prog dren have | y mapping ai lliver target gress across been identif | nd sand play. red intervent s from their s fied as having | ions. starting po | ds to receive tar- pints R/W/M. c need (not neces- | | |

| | | Attendance is lower for cohorts affected by persistent absence. This is monitored and there are close working relationships and support in place for the identified families. | |
|------------------|--|--|---------|
| | | TOTAL TARGETER AGARETIC GURRORT | C14 000 |
| WIDER STRATEGIES | | TOTAL - TARGETED ACADEMIC SUPPORT | £14,980 |
| | Behaviour: Psychoeducation Toolkit – Beacon House Recommended by DSPL3 | Children are feeling comfortable to talk and are feeling safe and secure in the school environment. | £1000 |
| | Therapeutic Hooves | Vulnerable children were provided with opportunities to engage in an out of school activity to support emotional self-regulation. This has helped to ensure children are able to attend school and feel comfortable in their environment and emotional safety. | £700 |
| | Uniform & book bags | Children are ready to start the school year and are not identifiable from their peers. | £100 |
| | Enrichment Activities, incl Sports lunch club led by RTYFC | All children have attended at least one out of school learning activity (funded). All children have had enrichment activities built into the curriculum (funded). Sports clubs are attended by a number of PPG children (Funded), resulting in improved social and emotional skills and greater physical activity. | £500 |
| | | TOTAL - WIDER STRATEGIES | £2,300 |